FACSIMILE cover sheet

WENDELL E. MILLER

PATENT AGENT 1506 TIPPECANOE DRIVE, D-1 WARSAW, IN 46580 Tel (574) 267-2729 -- PAX (574) 268-2729

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 1 4 2004

September 14, 2004

By FAX to (703) 872-9306

Cover plus 6 pages transmitted

To:

MS Issue Fee

Art Unit:

2817

From:

Wendell E. Miller, Agent for Applicants, Reg. No. 26,572

Re:

Application No. 10/644,115 - Confirmation No. 6515

Filed:

August 20, 2003

Inventors:

Barry A. Lautzenhiser et al.

For:

SHARED-CURRENT ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

Docket No: 203-12CIP

Enclosed:

TRANSMITTAL FORM, PTO/SB/21, 1 page, 1 copy.

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE, 5 pages, 1 copy.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, FAX No. (703) 872-9306 on this date: September 14, 2004.

Typed name of person signing this certificate: Wendell E. Miller, Registration No. 26,572

Date signed: September 14, 2004. Signature:

If there are transmission problems, please call (574) 267-2729.

CONFIRMATION COPY OF ORIGINAL COPY AND ANY ENCLOSURES

/_/ WILL /XX/ WILL NOT be sem

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS CONFIDENTIAL AND ONLY POR THE INTENDED RECIPIENT IDENTIFIED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THIS INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OR USE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE EMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE (CXLL,ECT), RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US, AND RETAIN NO COPY.

9-ARED-F.840

Under the Pagerwork Reduction Act of 1995 TRANSMITTAL FORM (la be used for all correspondence after initial l	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor	Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031 S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE collection of information unless a displays a yolid OMB control number. 10/644,115 Conf. No. 6515 August 20, 2004 Barry A. Lautzenhiser 2817 Henry Choe 203-12CIP
Toparrentiaer or 1 ages in this constitution		all that apply)
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Comments on Statement of xoveresment/Reply Reasons for Allowance After Final Aftidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revoca Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks	After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietury Information ation ce Address Cther Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
SIGNA	TURE OF APPLICANT, AT	TORNEY, OR AGENT
	ERTIFICATE OF TRANSMI being facsimile transmitted to the US welope addressed to: Commissione	ISSION/MAILING SPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with er for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
Typed or printed name Wendell E.	Miller ·	
	all Emille	Date September 14, 2004

This callection of information is required by 27 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reduning his burden, should be sent in to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petertia and Trakements Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patients, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in complating the form, call 1-800-PTO-9189 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

Application No.: 10/644,115

Confirmation No. 6515

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

For:

SHARED-CURRENT ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

JET 1 4 2004

Á

Applicants:

Barry A. Lautzenhiser et al.

Filed:

August 20, 2003

TC/A.U.:

2817

Examiner:

Henry Choe

Docket No.:

203-12CIP

MS Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

5

10

Applicants herein submit comments, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104, on Examiner's "Reasons for Allowance" which were included with a Notice of Allowance of August 17, 2004. In the Notice of Allowance, Examiner allowed independent Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11, and 12, and dependent Claims 13-18, 21, and 22.

Examiner said, "Regarding claims 1, 2, 7, and 8, the closest prior art of record, Vendelin et al (Fig. 2) does not disclose the following limitations: means for rf decoupling further includes means for making an effective series resistance between the lower dc voltage terminal of the one electronic device

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to MS Issue Fee, United States Patent and Trademark Office, FAX No. (703) 872-9306 on September 14, 2004.

Name of person signing this certificate: Wendell E. Miller

Date signed: September 14, 2004

Signature: Wandell milk

SHARRID-X.78b

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance Notice of Allowance Dated: August 17, 2004

5

10

15

20

25

30

and the electrical ground [and] lower than a porcelain capacitor which resonates at an rf frequency of the one electronic device" (redundant word bracketed by Applicants).

Applicants respectfully submit that Examiner has judged correctly with regard to Vendelin et al. and Applicants' independent Claims 1, 2, 7, and 8. That is, Vendelin et al. do not even mention any problem with rf decoupling, and do not even mention that a capacitor can have an effective series resistance. Therefore, independent Claims 1, 2, 7, and 8 are, indeed, allowable for the reason that Examiner stated.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 2, 7, and 8 are also allowable for a different reason; so that Claims 2, 7, and 8 would be allowable without the rf decoupling recitation.

With regard to independent Claim 2, Applicants respectfully submit that patentability alternately/additionally resides in the recitation, "means . . . for proportioning first and second percentages of a dc source voltage . . . to said first and second electronic devices, respectively."

More particularly, the cited art, and all of the art known to Applicants, divides the source voltage equally, whereas the source voltage is divided in selected proportions in the present invention. The means for selectively proportioning is VD1 of Figures 1-5 and 16-21, 54 of Figures 6 and 7, and 72 of Figures 8 and 10.

With regard to independent Claim 7, Applicants' arguments for independent Claim 2 also pertain to independent Claim 7. However, in independent Claim 7, the patentable recitation is: "d) proportioning first and second percentages of said dc source voltage to separate ones of said electronic devices."

With regard to independent Claim 8, Applicants' arguments for independent Claim 2 also pertain to independent Claim 8. However, in independent Claim 8, the patentable recitation is "c) proportioning first and second percentages of said dc source voltage between said first and second electronic devices."

In his Reasons for Allowance, Examiner says, "Regarding claims 5, 6, 11, and 12, the closest prior art of record, Vendelin et al (Fig. 2) does not

Application No.: 10/644,115
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance Notice of Allowance Dated: August 17, 2004

5

10

15

20

25

30

disclose the following limitations: means for delivering an rf signal from the baseband processor to the solid state electronic device."

With regard to independent Claim 5, Applicants respectfully submit that this claim recites, "means . . . for delivering an rf signal from said baseband processor to said solid-state electronic device" (3rd recitation), as Examiner says.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 5 is alternately/additionally allowable in that Claim 5 recites a baseband processor (110) of Figures 19-21) in dc series with a solid-state device (Q1, Q2, or Q3), as recited in the third recitation.

Applicants respectfully submit that, neither the cited art, nor any art known to Applicants, connects a processing electronic device (such as 92 of Figures 16-18 or 110 of Figures 19-21) in dc series with a solid-state device (Q1, Q2, or Q3). Therefore Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 5 would be allowable without the rf decoupling recitation.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 5 is also alternately/additionally allowable in that the baseband processing device and the solid-state electronic device are connected in both rf series and dc series, as recited in the third and fourth recitations.

Finally, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 5 is also allowable by virtue of still a different recitation, in accordance with the arguments submitted for allowability of Claim 2. That is, in Claim 5, the penultimate recitation reads: "means . . . for proportioning first and second percentages of a dc supply voltage . .. to respective ones of said devices."

With regard to independent Claim 6, Applicants respectfully submit that the multiplier/upconverter (112) is connected in rf series with the baseband processor (2nd recitation), and the multiplier/upconverter is connected in rf series to the solid-state amplifying device (4th recitation).

Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 6 is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of the fifth recitation in which the solid-state amplifying device and the baseband processing device are connected in dc series.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 6 is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of the second and fourth recitations in which

Application No.: 10/644,115
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Notice of Allowance Dated: August 17, 2004

5

10

15

20

25

30

three electronic devices are connected in rf series and the fifth recitation in which the solid-state amplifying device and the baseband processing device are connected in dc series.

Further, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 6 is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of the second and fourth recitations in which a processing electronic device (92) and two other electronic devices are connected in rf series, and the fifth recitation in which two of the electronic devices are connected in dc series.

Finally, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 6 is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of the penultimate recitation in which proportioning of the source voltage, between the baseband processor and the solid-state electronic device, is recited.

With regard to independent Claim 11, Applicants respectfully submit that the rf series connection referred to by Examiner is: "a) rf connecting a baseband processing device and a solid-state electronic device." While this recitation does not include the word, "series," those knowledgeable in the art will recognize that rf connection of these two devices must be in series.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 11 is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of recitation "b" in which the solid-state electronic device and the baseband processing device are connected in dc series.

Again with regard to independent Claim 11, Applicants respectfully submit that this claim is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of recitation "e" which reads, "separately proportioning first and second percentages of said dc source voltage to said devices."

With regard to independent Claim 12, Applicants respectfully submit that the rf series connection referred to by Examiner can be found in either the recitation of step "a" or step "b" or in the combination of steps "a" and "b."

Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 12 is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of recitation "c" in which the solid-state amplifying device and the baseband processing device are connected in dc series.

Application No.: 10/644,115
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Notice of Allowance Dated: August 17, 2004

5

15

Again with regard to independent Claim 12, Applicants respectfully submit that this claim is alternately/additionally allowable by virtue of recitation "f" which reads, "proportioning first and second percentages of said do source voltage to separate ones of said devices."

In summary, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 12 are indeed allowable in accordance with the reasons that have been set forth above.

With regard to dependent Claims 13-18, 21, and 22, Applicants respectfully submit that these claims are allowable both by virtue of dependency upon an allowable claim and their individual recitations in combination with their respective parent claims.

Finally, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 12 would have been allowable if any one, or any combination, of the patentable recitations, as discussed above, had been included in any of these independent claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Sportell & miller

Wendell E. Miller Agent for Applicants Registration No. 26,572

September 14, 2004

1506 Tippecanoe Drive, D-1 Warsaw, IN 46580

Tel. (574) 267-2729 FAX (574) 268-2729